

### Expanding Compound Fractions Using Division by Zero

**Theorem** Given two nonnegative integers  $n, m$ , when

$$n - 0 \times m > n - 1 \times m > n - 2 \times m > \dots > n - k \times m = r \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \text{Nonnegative integers}) \quad (1)$$

and  $r$  is the smallest nonnegative integer that satisfies (1),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n}{m} &= 0 \frac{n - 0 \times m}{m} = 1 \frac{n - 1 \times m}{m} = 2 \frac{n - 2 \times m}{m} = \dots = k \frac{n - k \times m}{m} = k \frac{r}{m} = k + \frac{r}{m} \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{n}{m} = k \cdots r \quad \wedge \quad n = km + r \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\cdots r$  denotes the remainder obtained after dividing  $n$  by  $m$ .

**Proof** When  $m \neq 0$ ,

i.  $n > m$

If  $n = km + r$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \wedge 0 \leq r < m$ ), it is clear.

ii.  $n = m$

In (1),

$$n - 0 \times m > n - 1 \times m = 0 \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \text{Nonnegative integers})$$

implies that  $k = 1 \wedge r = 0$ . This yields

$$\frac{n}{m} = 1 \cdots 0 \quad \wedge \quad n = 1 \times m + 0 = m$$

iii.  $n < m$

In (1),

$$n - 0 \times m = n \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \text{Nonnegative integers})$$

implies that  $k = 0 \wedge r = n$ . This yields

$$\frac{n}{m} = 0 \cdots n \quad \wedge \quad n = 0 \times m + n = n$$

iv.  $n = 0$

In (1),

$$n - 0 \times m = n \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \text{Nonnegative integers})$$

implies that  $k = 0 \wedge r = n = 0$ . That yields

$$\frac{n}{m} = 0 \cdots 0 \quad \wedge \quad n = 0 \times m + 0 = 0$$

When  $m = 0$ ,

In (1),

$$n - k \times 0 = n \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \text{Nonnegative integers})$$

implies that  $k = 0 \wedge r = n$ . Therefore,

$$\frac{n}{m} = \frac{n}{0} = 0 \frac{n - 0 \times 0}{0} = 0 \frac{r}{0} = 0 + \frac{r}{0} = \frac{n}{0}$$

Consequently,

$$\Rightarrow \frac{n}{0} = 0 \cdots n \quad \wedge \quad n = 0 \times 0 + r = 0 \times 0 + n = n$$

QED.

It can be seen from the above that it is possible to expand a compound fraction in a natural form by letting the denominator (divisor) equal 0.

Moreover, in the relation

$$k \frac{r}{m} = k + \frac{r}{m} \quad (3)$$

if  $m = 0$  and  $k \neq 0$ , it should be noted that

$$k + \frac{r}{m} = k \frac{r}{m} \quad (4)$$

cannot lead to

$$\frac{n}{m} = k \cdots r \quad \wedge \quad n = km + r \quad (5)$$

The reason for this is that (4)

$$k + \frac{r}{m} = k \frac{r}{m} = \frac{r + km}{m} = \frac{r}{m} + \frac{km}{m} = \frac{r}{m} + \frac{m}{m} k$$
$$\therefore k = \frac{m}{m} k$$

implies that

$$\frac{m}{m} = 1 \quad (6)$$

However, by Theorem,

$$\frac{0}{0} = 0 \quad (7)$$

which is inconsistent. That is, it should be noted that the zero-denominator fraction that is obtained when  $m = 0$  cannot be reduced to a nonzero denominator.